

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/608,159	WILCOX ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Chun Cao	2115	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Chun Cao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael J. Mallie (Reg. No. 36,591).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 September 2005
**Time:** 4:30 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

35 USC 112 2nd problem of claim 1 was discussed. Applicant agreed to amend the claim to overcome the discussed 112 2nd problem as set forth in examiner amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)